A conserved genetic interaction between Spt6 and Set2 regulates H3K36 methylation
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ABSTRACT

The transcription elongation factor Spt6 and the H3K36 methyltransferase Set2 are both required for H3K36 methylation and transcriptional fidelity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. However, the nature of the requirement for Spt6 has remained elusive. By selecting for suppressors of a transcriptional defect in an spt6 mutant, we have isolated several highly clustered, dominant SET2 mutations (SET2sup mutations) in a region encoding a proposed autoinhibitory domain. SET2sup mutations suppress the H3K36 methylation defect in the spt6 mutant, as well as in other mutants that impair H3K36 methylation. We also show that SET2sup mutations overcome the requirement for certain Set2 domains for H3K36 methylation. In vivo, SET2sup mutants have elevated levels of H3K36 methylation and the purified Set2sup mutant protein has greater enzymatic activity in vitro. ChIP-seq studies demonstrate that the H3K36 methylation defect in the spt6 mutant, as well as its suppression by a SET2sup mutation, occurs at a step following the recruitment of Set2 to chromatin. Other experiments show that a similar genetic relationship between Spt6 and Set2 exists in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Taken together, our results suggest a conserved mechanism by which the Set2 autoinhibitory domain requires multiple Set2 interactions to ensure that H3K36 methylation occurs specifically on actively transcribed chromatin.

INTRODUCTION

The histone chaperone Spt6 is a highly conserved transcription elongation factor required for many aspects of transcription and chromatin structure. Spt6 binds directly to Rpb1, the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) (1–6), to histones and nucleosomes (7–9), and to the essential transcription factor Spn1/Iws1 (9–12). Mutations in Saccharomyces cerevisiae SPT6 cause genome-wide changes in histone occupancy (13–16) and impair several histone modifications, including H3K36 di- and trimethylation (H3K36me2/me3) catalyzed by the H3K36 methyltransferase Set2 (17–20). Mutations in SPT6 also cause greatly elevated levels of transcripts that arise from within coding regions on both sense and antisense strands, known as intragenic transcription (15,21–25). Intragenic transcription has recently emerged as a mechanism to express alternative genetic information within a coding region (for example, (26–30)).

Regulation of intragenic transcription by Spt6 occurs, at least in part, by its regulation of H3K36 methylation, as a deletion of SET2 also causes genome-wide expression of intragenic transcripts (17,31,32). Set2 normally represses intragenic transcription via its association with RNAPII during transcription elongation, resulting in H3K36me2/me3 over gene bodies (33–36). This histone modification is required for the co-transcriptional function of the Rpd3S histone deacetylase complex (17,37–40). Deacetylation by Rpd3S over transcribed regions is believed to maintain a repressive environment that prevents intragenic transcription. Regulation of intragenic transcription by H3K36 methylation is conserved as depletion of SETD2 (a human ortholog of yeast SET2) also results in the genome-wide expression of intragenic transcripts (41).

Set2-dependent H3K36me2/me3 is regulated by several factors in addition to Spt6. These include members of the PAF complex (33,42), as well as the Rpb1 CTD kinases Ctk1 (33,43) and Bur1 (18,42). Furthermore, there is strong evidence that a nucleosomal surface composed of specific residues of histones H2A, H3 and H4 near the entry and exit point of nucleosomal DNA forms a substrate recognition surface for Set2 (44,45). The H3 N-terminal tail itself has also been shown to be required for Set2 activity and mutant analysis suggests that intra-tail interactions (46) and cis–trans isomerization of the N-terminal H3 tail (47) control Set2 activity. The combined influence of all of these factors shows that Set2 activity is highly regulated to ensure that it occurs co-transcriptionally on a chromatin template.

Multiple domains within Set2 regulate its catalytic activity in order to ensure that it functions during transcription elongation. The C-terminal region of Set2 contains
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the Set2–Rpb1 interacting domain (SRI domain), which interacts with the Ser2- and Ser5-phosphorylated carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) of Rpb1 (48) and which binds nucleosomal DNA (49). A deletion of the SRI domain causes loss of H3K36 methylation (19). In addition, a nine amino acid sequence in the N-terminal region of Set2 mediates the interaction of Set2 with histone H4 and this domain is also required for Set2 catalytic activity (45). The central region of Set2 has been characterized as an autoinhibitory domain, as deletions throughout this region result in increased H3K36 methylation (49). However, the functional role of this domain is unknown.

The initial goal of our study was to identify factors that regulate Spt6-mediated intragenic transcription. To do this, we carried out a selection for suppressor mutations that inhibit intragenic transcription in an spt6 disruptant, where intragenic transcripts are widespread (22,23,25). We identified intragenic transcription in an spt6 Δ disruption strain and carried out a selection for suppressor mutations that inactivate Spt6-mediated intragenic transcription. To do this, we sequenced the endogenous SET2 gene, replacing base pairs +1727 – +2505 (+1 = ATG). For spot tests to check for reporter expression, cells were spotted on media containing 1 mg/ml 5-FOA and/or 150 μg/ml canavanine unless mentioned otherwise. For verification of mutants obtained from the selection, point mutations were made in the SET2 gene by two-step gene replacement (53) in a strain containing only the STE11-CAN1 reporter. The same strategy was used to make the different deletions within the region of the SET2 gene that encodes the autoinhibitory domain. For Spt6 depletion experiments, cells were grown to OD600 ≈ 0.6 (~2 × 107 cells). Cells were diluted in YPD to OD600 = 0.3. A fraction of the diluted culture was collected and treated as the 0 m time point. Indole acetic acid dissolved in ethanol was then added to the medium at a final concentration of 25 μM and cultures were grown at 30°C. Cells were collected at 90, 120 and 150 min to prepare whole cell extracts for western blotting.

**Isolation and analysis of mutants that suppress intragenic transcription**

The genetic selection for isolating mutants that suppress intragenic transcription in set6-1004 was done in two rounds. In the first round, 25 independent cultures each of FY3129 and FY3130 were grown to saturation overnight in YPD. Cells were washed twice with water and 2–4 × 107 cells from each independent culture were spread on two SC-Arg plates containing 0.25 mg/ml 5-FOA and 150 μg/ml canavanine, one of which was UV irradiated. All plates were incubated at 34°C, which reduced background growth, and colonies that grew between days 3 and 7 were picked for further analysis. The second round of selection was identical to the first round, except that cells were plated on SC-Arg plates containing 0.5 mg/ml 5-FOA and 150 μg/ml canavanine. To test for dominance, the suppressor strains were first crossed with the parent set6-1004 strain carrying both the reporters, diploids were selected by complementation, and the purified diploids were tested for growth on a medium containing 5-FOA and canavanine. To test for linkage, suppressors were crossed to each other, sporulated, and tetrads were dissected and analyzed by standard conditions. At least 10 tetrads were analyzed per cross.

**Identification of suppressor mutations by whole-genome sequencing**

Eight of the twenty independent dominant mutants identified were subjected to further genetic analysis and found to contain mutations in a single gene responsible for the suppression phenotype. Whole genome sequencing libraries were prepared for the eight mutants and two parents (FY3129 and FY3130) as described previously (54). Sonication of genomic DNA was done using Covaris S2 (3 cycles of 50%; Duty cycle: 10%; Intensity: 4; Cycles/burst: 200) to obtain fragments between 100 and 500 bp. GeneRead DNA library prep kit (QIAGEN) was used for end repair,
A tailing and adapter ligation. The DNA samples were purified twice using 0.7x volume SPRI beads. PCR cycles for final amplification were selected based on trial amplification runs. Following the final PCR, DNA was purified twice using 0.7x volume SPRI beads and submitted for next-generation sequencing. Sequencing was done on an Illumina Hi-Seq platform. Reads from the FASTQ file were aligned using Bowtie2 (55) (default parameters) and variants between all 10 libraries and the reference genome were called using the samtools mpileup command (56). The resulting VCF file was then used to identify variants that were present only in the mutants and not in the parents using a custom R script (https://github.com/winston-lab/wgs.snp_analysis_2018). All variants that mapped within the coding sequence of a gene were identified. The gene that had variants in all mutants was found to be SET2.

Spot tests

Yeast cultures were grown overnight from single colonies. Cells were pelleted and washed once with water. All cultures were normalized by their OD_{600} values and six 10-fold serial dilutions of each culture were made in a 96-well plate. The cultures were spotted on different media and incubated at the appropriate temperatures. All plates containing FOA and/or canavanine were incubated at 34 °C to ensure higher stringency for assay ing intragenic transcription. The control complete plates for these experiments were also incubated at 34 °C. The Spt’ phenotype was assayed at 30 °C. Temperature sensitivity was assayed at 37 °C. For mutant backgrounds with weak intragenic transcription (as in Figure 5), expression of the reporter was tested on SC-Ura medium.

Western blotting and antibodies

_Saccharomyces cerevisiae_ cells were grown to OD_{600} ~ 0.6 (~2 × 10^7 cells). Culture volumes were normalized by their OD_{600} such that an OD equivalent (OD_{600} * volume of the culture) of 6 was harvested. The cell pellets were washed once with water and suspended in 300 μl water. Then, 300 μl of 0.6 M sodium hydroxide was added to the cells, and the suspension was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The cells were then pelleted and resuspended in 80 μl Modified SDS buffer (60 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 4% β-mercaptoethanol, 4% SDS, 0.01% bromophenol blue and 20% glycerol) (57). Eight microliters of the extracts were loaded on sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gels for western blotting. _Schizosaccharomyces pombe_ cells were grown to OD_{600} ~ 0.6 (~10^7 cells/ml). Ten milliliters of cells were pelleted and resuspended in 200 μl of 20% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Next, 200 μl of glass beads were added to the tube and the cells were lysed by bead beating for 2 min at 4 °C. The bottom of the tube was punctured and the flow through collected by centrifugation. The beads were washed twice with 200 μl of 5% TCA and the flow through was collected. The pooled flow through fractions were spun at 3000 rpm for 10 min at room temperature and the resulting pellet was resuspended in 150 μl (normalized by OD_{600}; culture of OD_{600} = 0.8 was suspended in 150 μl) of 2x Laemmli buffer (125 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 10% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.01% bromophenol blue, 40% glycerol). An equal volume of 1 M Tris base (pH not adjusted) was added to neutralize the TCA. The samples were incubated for 5 min at 95 °C and spun down at 10 000 rpm for 30 s. Then, 10 μl of the supernatant was loaded on an SDS-PAGE gel for western blotting. Primary antibodies used for western blotting were: anti-Set2 (1:8000, generously provided by Brian Strahl), anti-H3K36me3 (1:2000, Abcam, ab9050), anti-H3K36me2 (1:2500, Abcam, ab9049) or (1:1000, Upstate #07-274), anti-HA (1:1500, Abcam, ab9110), anti-Flag (1:5000, Sigma, F3165), anti-H3 (1:2500, Abcam, ab1791), anti-V5 (1:5000, Invitrogen, R960-25), anti-Pgk1 (1:10 000, Life Technologies 459250) and anti-Act1 (1:10 000, Abcam, ab8224). Secondary antibodies used were: goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:10 000, Licor IRDye 680RD) and goat anti-mouse IgG (1:20 000, Licor, 800CW). Quantification of western blots was done using Licor ImageStudio software.

Northern blotting

RNA extraction from _S. cerevisiae_ was done using hot acid phenol extraction as described previously (58). Northern blotting was done as described previously (58) with many modifications. Fifteen micrometers of RNA was loaded per sample. The composition of the final RNA loading dye was 6% formaldehyde, 1× MOPS, 2.5% Ficoll, 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 7 μg/ml etidium bromide, 0.025% bromophenol blue and 0.025% Orange G. Following the addition of RNA loading dye, the RNA sample was heated at 65 °C for 5 min and then transferred to ice before loading on the gel. Transfer of the RNA from gel to the membrane was done using upward capillary transfer in 1× SSC solution. Pre-hybridization of the membrane was done for 3 to 5 h in pre-hybridization solution (50% deionized formamide, 10% dextran sulphate, 1 M NaCl, 0.5 M Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 0.1% SDS, 0.1% sodium pyrophosphate, 10× Denhardt’s reagent, 500 μg/ml denatured salmon sperm DNA) at 42 °C. Following hybridization, six washes were done: 2 washes with 2× SSC solution at room temperature for 15 min each, 2 washes with 2× SSC, 0.5% SDS at 65 °C for 30 min each and 2 washes with 0.1× SSC at room temperature for 30 min each. Probes were made with the PCR primers listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Cloning and expression of Set2 protein in insect cells

All plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S3. For creating the GST-Set2 bacmids, full-length wild-type SET2 or SET2-H366N was PCR amplified and first cloned into the BamHI/XhoI sites of the pGEX6p1 vector producing FB2798 and FB2799. This resulted in fusing the GST tag to the N-terminal end of Set2, with the presence of a Precission protease site between the tag and the protein. The GST-SET2 constructs were cloned into the pFastBac1 vector by Gibson cloning (59) using a 40-bp overlapping sequence between the amplified vector and insert producing FB2800 and FB2801. These plasmids were then transformed into DH10Bac Escherichia coli cells, and transformants that had inserted the GST-Set2 constructs into the bacmid were identified by blue-white screening.
producing FB2802 and FB2803 that were then used for transfecting S9 cells. S9 transfection with bacmid DNA and virus amplification were performed essentially as described for the Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus Expression System (ThermoFisher Scientific). S9 cells were maintained in ESF 921 insect cell culture medium (Expression Systems, 96-001-01) supplemented with 50 U/ml Penicillin-Streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific, 15140-122). For protein expression, 2 × 10^7 S9 cells/ml were infected at a multiplicity of infection of ∼10. Cells were harvested 66 h post-infection by centrifugation at 5000 × g for 15 min.

**Purification of Set2 from insect cells**

The cell pellet from 100 ml of S9 cells was lysed with 20 ml of BV lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM EDTA, 2 mM MgCl₂ and 0.2% Triton X-100) on ice for 30 min. The cell lysate was then spun at 40 000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was added to 100 µl of glutathione sepharose beads (GE, 17075601) pre-washed twice in BV lysis buffer and incubated at 4°C with gentle shaking for 2 h. The beads were washed twice with 20 ml of BV lysis buffer, and twice with 20 ml of Precission buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol) that contained 0.005% Triton X-100 to help pellet the beads. The beads were then suspended in 1 ml of Precission buffer. Four microliters of Precission protease (GE, 27084301) were added to the beads that were then incubated at 4°C overnight with end-over-end rotation. The beads were then spun down and the supernatant, which had the eluted protein, was concentrated in Amicon columns to a volume of ∼80 µl. Protein concentrations were estimated by Bradford assay (60), and equivalent amounts of protein were analyzed on an SDS-PAGE gel along with a bovine serum albumin standard to confirm this measurement. The proteins were frozen in aliquots at −70°C.

**Histone methyltransferase assays**

Histone methyltransferase assays were done based on a previously described method (49). Assays were carried out in 10 µl reactions. Purified Set2 protein (at a final concentration of 0.23, 0.46 or 0.94 µM) was mixed with 1 µl ^3^H S-adenosyl methionine (55-85 Ci/mMole, Perkin Elmer, NET155H250UC) and 1 µg of recombinant Xenopus nucleosomes in a buffer that had a final composition of 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 75 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT and 5% glycerol. The reactions were incubated at 30°C for 1 h. The reactions were then spotted on half of a P81 phosphocellulose filter paper circle (Whatman) and allowed to dry. The filters were washed three times in 50 ml HMT Wash buffer (39 mM NaHCO₃, 11 mM Na₂CO₃, pH 9.2). The filters were briefly rinsed in acetone and allowed to air dry. The filters were then placed in scintillation vials containing 4 ml scintillation fluid (RPI, 111167) and scintillation counting was done in a Beckman Coulter LS 6500 machine for 1 min.

**ChIP and ChIP-Seq**

For *S. cerevisiae* cultures, 140 ml of cells were grown to OD₆₀₀ ∼ 0.6 (~2 × 10⁷ cells) in YPD. Cultures were cross-linked by the addition of formaldehyde to a final concentration of 1% followed by incubation with shaking at room temperature for 20 min. Glycine was added to a final concentration of 125 mM and the incubation was continued for 10 min. The cells were pelleted and washed twice with cold 1× TBS (100 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) and once with cold water. The cell pellets were then suspended in 800 µl cold LB140 buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1× cCOMPLETE Protease Inhibitor tablet (Roche)). One milliliter of glass beads were added and the cells were lysed by bead beating for 8 min at 4°C with incubation on ice for 3 min after every 1 min. The lysate was collected and centrifuged at 12 500 rpm for 5 min and the resulting pellet was washed once with 800 µl cold LB140 buffer. The pellet was resuspended in 580 µl cold LB140 buffer and sonicated in a QSonica Q800R machine for 20 min (30 s on, 30 s off, 70% amplitude). The sonicated samples were centrifuged at 12 500 rpm for 30 min and the resulting supernatant was taken for the immunoprecipitation step. For the *S. pombe* spike-in strain, 120 ml of cells were grown to OD₆₀₀ ∼ 0.6 in YES and processed similar to the *S. cerevisiae* culture except for the following steps: bead beating for cell lysis was done for 11 min. Sonication was done for 15 min. The protein concentrations in chromatin were measured by Bradford assay (60). About 300–500 µg of *S. cerevisiae* chromatin was mixed with 33–55 µg (10%) of *S. pombe* chromatin, and the volume was brought up to 800 µl with WB140 buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate). This was used as the input for the immunoprecipitation reaction. Antibody (amounts mentioned below) was added to the input and the samples incubated overnight at 4°C with end-over-end rotation. About 50 µl of Protein G sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) pre-washed twice in WB140 were added to the IPs and samples were incubated for 4 h at 4°C with end-over-end rotation. The beads were washed twice with WB140, twice with WB500 (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate), twice with WBLiCl (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 250 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) for 2 min each and once with TE (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA) for 5 min. The immunoprecipitated material was eluted twice with 100 µl TES (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) at 65°C for 30 min. The eluates were incubated at 65°C overnight to reverse the crosslinking. Two hundred microliters of TE was added to the eluates followed by RNase A/T1 to a final concentration of 0.02 µg/µl. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Proteinase K was added to a final concentration of 0.4 mg/ml and samples were incubated at 42°C for 2 h. DNA was purified using Zymo DCC (for ChIP-Seq) or EZNA Cycle Pure kit spin columns (for ChIP-qPCR). The purified DNA was used for qPCR or library preparation for next-generation sequencing. Primers used for qPCR are listed in Supplementary Table S2. The library preparation steps from this stage were similar to those used for preparation of DNA libraries for whole genome sequencing (54). Next-generation sequencing was done on an Illumina NextSeq platform. About 5 µl of anti-HA (Abcam, ab9110) per 500 µg of chromatin, 10
μl (5 μl for ChIP-qPCR) of anti-Rpb1 (Millipore, 8WG16) per 500 μg of chromatin, 4 μl of anti-H3 (Abcam, ab1791) per 300 μg of chromatin, 4 μl of anti-H3K36me2 (Abcam, ab9049) per 300 μg of chromatin, 4 μl of anti-H3K36me3 (Abcam, ab9050) per 300 μg of chromatin and 50 μl of anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma, #A2220) per 500 μg of chromatin were used for ChIP. HA tagged Set2 was used for all ChIP-seq and follow-up ChIP-qPCR experiments. FLAG tagged Set2 was used for ChIP-qPCR of set2 mutants lacking the SRI and HB domains.

ChIP-seq computational analysis

A custom ChIP-seq pipeline was generated using the Snake- make workflow manager (61). ChIP-seq data were aligned to a combined S. cerevisiae + S. pombe genome using Bowtie2 (55). About 72–93% of the reads mapped exactly once to the combined genome. The number of mapped reads for S. cerevisiae IP s (excluding inputs) varied from 1 to 10 million reads. The number of mapped reads for S. pombe immunoprecipitation (excluding inputs) varied from 0.35 to 6 million reads. Cross correlation was done using SPP package (62) to estimate fragment sizes for the different libraries. The coverage files were produced using the igvtools count function (63), extending reads by (length of fragment sizes – average read length) for each library. Spike in normalization was done as described previously (64), correcting for variations in the input samples. Correlation plots, heat maps and metagene plots were produced using custom R scripts. To identify genes that did not show rescue of H3K36me2 in the suppressor strain, coverage within 20 bp windows tiling the entire genome was generated for each library. IP libraries were divided by their respective control libraries after the addition of a pseudocount of one. The mean coverage over every gene in each library was determined using the bedtools map command (65). The ratio of the mean coverage for every gene in one sample over the other was calculated. All code used to analyze the data can be found at https://github.com/winston-lab/chip-seq-analysis-pipeline.

RESULTS

Isolation and analysis of dominant SET2 mutations that suppress intragenic transcription in an spt6-1004 mutant

To identify factors that regulate intragenic transcription, we selected for mutations that suppress this class of transcription in an spt6-1004 mutant (21), which allows extensive intragenic transcription (22,23,25). To select for suppressors, we constructed two reporters using characterized intragenic transcription start sites in the FLO8 (21) and STE11 (50) genes (Figure 1A and B; ‘Materials and methods’ section). In the FLO8-URA3 reporter, intragenic transcription confers sensitivity to 5-FOA, while in the STE11-CAN1 reporter, intragenic transcription confers sensitivity to canavanine. To select for mutations that suppress intragenic transcription, we constructed spt6-1004 strains that contained both reporters and selected for resistance to both 5-FOA and canavanine (5-FOA R Can R). The double selection reduced the likelihood of isolating cis-acting mutations in either reporter, thereby enriching for mutants that generally affect intragenic transcription.

We isolated and characterized 20 independent mutants. By standard genetic tests, we showed that all 20 mutations were dominant. We then tested eight mutants by crosses and showed that the 5-FOA R Can R phenotype was caused by a single mutation in each strain and that the mutations were tightly linked to each other, with no recombinants found in any of seven crosses (10 tetrad/cross). To identify candidate mutations, we performed whole genome sequencing of these eight suppressor strains and identified single base pair changes in the SET2 gene in all eight mutants, suggesting that these are the causative mutations that suppress intragenic transcription. Sequencing of the SET2 gene in the other 12 suppressors also revealed mutations in SET2. The 20 mutations (Figure 1C, Supplementary Table S4) are clustered within a small region of SET2 encoding a previously identified autoinhibitory domain (49).

To verify that the dominant SET2 mutations are causative for suppression of intragenic transcription in spt6-1004, we recreated three of the identified SET2 sup mutations in the spt6-1004 parental reporter strains. As 13 of the 20 SET2 sup mutations are within three adjacent codons (365–367) (Figure 1C), we decided to test three of these mutations, SET2-L365Q, SET2-H366N and SET2-G367S, and a fourth mutation (SET2Δ3) that deleted these three SET2 codons. In all four cases, the reconstructed mutants were 5-FOA R and Can R, showing that each of the SET2 mutations was causative (Figure 1D). Suppression was specific for intragenic transcription as the mutants still had other spt6 mutant phenotypes, including Spt and temperature-sensitive growth (Figure 1D). To assay the effect of a SET2 sup mutation on levels of an intragenic transcript, we performed northern blots, looking at STE11 transcripts, using a strain with a wild-type STE11 gene. Our results showed that the SET2Δ3 mutation strongly suppressed STE11 intragenic transcript levels in an spt6-1004 mutant, to levels similar to that in wild-type cells (Figure 1E). Deletion of the entire SET2 gene does not suppress intragenic transcription in an spt6-1004 background (Figure 1E), demonstrating that our SET2 sup mutations do not cause loss of Set2 activity. Suppression by the SET2Δ3 mutation suggests that the suppression phenotype occurs by impairment of the Set2 autoinhibitory domain. Taken together, our results show that mutations that change or remove amino acids in the Set2 autoinhibitory domain suppress intragenic transcription in an spt6-1004 mutant.

SET2 sup mutations rescue H3K36 di- and trimethylation in an spt6-1004 mutant

Given that all of our suppressor mutations were in SET2, we tested whether they suppress the H3K36me2/me3 defect in spt6-1004, using quantitative western blots. Compared to the spt6-1004 single mutant, where H3K36me3 is undetectable, our results show that four different SET2 sup spt6-1004 double mutants have a substantial level of H3K36me3, ~10–40% of the level of a wild-type strain (Figure 2A and B). In addition, all of the other originally isolated SET2 sup mutants, tested once, restored H3K36me3 to varying extents in an spt6-1004 background (data not shown). Fur-
Figure 1. Isolation of mutations that suppress intragenic transcription in spt6-1004. (A) Shown at the top are diagrams of the two reporters and the selection used to isolate suppressor mutations. Shown below each are the transcripts made in wild-type (WT), spt6-1004 and spt6-1004 strains with a suppressor. In spt6-1004 mutants, the FLO8-URA3 reporter confers 5-FOA sensitivity and the STE11-CAN1 reporter confers canavanine sensitivity due to expression of the intragenic transcripts, shown in red. (B) Spot tests of cells grown at 34°C that show the selective conditions for the mutant selection. (C) A diagram of the Set2 protein that depicts the amino acid changes caused by the dominant SET2sup mutations. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of times the same mutation was isolated if more than once. One isolate had two point mutations that coded for L365P and H366Y in the same protein. The letters above the rectangle indicate the identified domains in Set2: HB, histone binding domain; SET, the catalytic domain; AID, the autoinhibitory domain; the WW domain; CC, a coiled coil motif; SRI, the Set2 Rpb1 interacting domain. (D) Spot tests of cells grown at 34°C to assay suppression of intragenic transcription in spt6-1004 by SET2sup mutations using the STE11-CAN1 reporter. (E) Northern analysis of the STE11 gene, using a probe from the 3′ region of STE11, to assay the suppression of intragenic transcription in spt6-1004 by a SET2sup mutation. In wild-type, there is a single full-length STE11 transcript (denoted by the arrow), while in the spt6-1004 mutant, there are two intragenic transcripts (denoted by the asterisks) in addition to the full-length transcript. SNR190 served as the loading control.

thermore, we constructed a series of short deletions that removed segments of the Set2 autoinhibitory domain and found that they also suppressed the H3K36me2/me3 defect in spt6-1004 to a similar degree as the SET2sup mutations (Supplementary Figure S1). Thus, multiple types of changes in the Set2 autoinhibitory domain partially bypass the requirement of Set2 for Spt6.

To determine the effect of a SET2sup mutation in an otherwise wild-type background, we compared the effects of the SET2Δ3 mutation on H3K36me2 and H3K36me3 levels with and without an spt6-1004 mutation. In an spt6-1004 SET2Δ3 double mutant, H3K36me3 levels are ~25% of wild-type levels and H3K36me2 levels are ~150% of wild-type (Figure 2C and D). In the SET2Δ3 single mutant, there appears to be hyperactivation of Set2 activity, as we observed greater levels of H3K36me3 and slightly decreased levels of H3K36me2 compared to wild-type (Figure 2C and D). Importantly, these changes in H3K36 methylation are not caused by elevated levels of Set2 protein (Figure 2E). Taken together, our results suggest that the Set2 autoinhibitory domain makes Set2 activity dependent upon Spt6.

To test whether SET2sup mutations can also suppress depletion of the Spt6 protein in addition to suppressing the spt6-1004 mutation, we conditionally depleted Spt6 via an auxin-inducible degron (66). In a wild-type SET2 background, as expected, we observed decreased levels of H3K36me2/me3 upon Spt6 depletion (Supplementary Figure S2). Set2 levels also decreased during the time course
Figure 2. \(\text{SET2}\text{sup}\) mutations suppress the H3K36 methylation defect in spt6-1004. (A) A western blot showing the levels of H3K36me3 and total histone H3 in four different \(\text{SET2}\text{sup}\) mutants. The asterisk denotes a non-specific band. (B) Quantification of H3K36me3 relative levels of the strains shown in (A). (C-E) Quantification of western blots assaying the levels of H3K36me2 (C), H3K36me3 (D) and Set2 (E) in wild-type and spt6-1004 strains with or without the \(\text{SET2}\Delta3\) mutation, normalized to their respective loading controls. For all bar graphs, the black dots represent the individual data points for three experiments and the bars show the mean ± standard deviation. A representative western blot is shown below each bar graph.

of this experiment, although this occurs later than the loss of H3K36 methylation. When Spt6 is depleted in the \(\text{SET2}\Delta3\) background, we observed increased levels of H3K36me2/me3 during the depletion compared to the wild-type \(\text{SET2}\) background, although the levels eventually decreased as Set2 protein levels decreased. Despite the decreasing levels of Set2, these results show that \(\text{SET2}\text{sup}\) mutations partially bypass the H3K36me2/me3 defects caused by depletion of Spt6.

\(\text{SET2}\text{sup}\) mutations suppress spt6-1004 via the Set2/Rpd3S pathway

We also performed two sets of experiments to verify that the \(\text{SET2}\text{sup}\) mutations function via H3K36 methylation and
the function of Rpd3S. First, to confirm that the SET2sup mutations exert their phenotype by restoring methylation of H3K36 rather than by some other event, we compared spt6-1004 SET2-H366N strains that express either wild-type histone H3 or an H3K36A mutant. Our results showed that the spt6-1004 SET2-H366N strain expressing H3K36A was no longer able to suppress intragenic transcription (Figure 3A); therefore, H3K36 methylation is necessary for the suppression of intragenic transcription. Second, as H3K36me2/me3 is required for the function of the Rpd3S histone deacetylase complex (17,37–40), we assayed whether suppression of spt6-1004 required a functional Rpd3S complex, by testing a strain lacking the Rpd3S component Rco1. Our results showed that rco1Δ reversed the suppression phenotype, similar to the H3K36A mutant (Figure 3B), showing that functional Rpd3S is necessary for the suppression of intragenic transcription by SET2sup mutations. Together, these results demonstrate that methylation at H3K36 and the subsequent activation of Rpd3S confer suppression by the SET2sup mutations.

SET2sup mutations show greater activity in vitro

Given our observation of higher H3K36me3 levels in SET2Δ3 cells as compared to wild-type, we hypothesized that SET2sup mutations compromise the function of the autoinhibitory domain and thereby make Set2 hyperactive. To directly test this hypothesis, we expressed and purified wild-type Set2 and Set2-H366N from insect cells. The purification strategy involved the use of a cleavable GST tag that permitted us to purify full-length Set2 with the presence of only five additional amino acids at the N-terminal end (Figure 4A). In vitro histone methyltransferase assays using purified Set2 and recombinant Xenopus mono-nucleosomes showed much greater activity for Set2-H366N compared to wild-type Set2 (Figure 4B). Both proteins showed increased methyltransferase activity with higher concentration of enzyme, and the differential activity between the wild-type and mutant enzymes was maintained throughout the range of concentrations. This result suggests that rescue of H3K36 methylation by SET2sup mutations in spt6-1004 cells is due to greater activity of Set2 and not due to altered expression or activity of a different factor in the mutant.

SET2sup mutations suppress H3K36 methylation defects that occur in other transcription elongation factor mutants

We wanted to test whether SET2sup mutations can suppress the loss of other functions that are required for both H3K36 methylation and repression of intragenic transcription. In particular, we tested the PAF complex and Ctk1 which, along with Spt6, have been proposed to be part of a feed-forward mechanism that regulates transcription elongation (67). For the PAF complex, we tested paf1Δ and ctr9Δ, both of which cause loss of H3K36me3, with no detectable effect on either H3K36me2 or Set2 protein levels (Figure 5A–C) (42). SET2Δ3 strongly suppressed the H3K36me3 defect of both paf1Δ and ctr9Δ (Figure 5B). In a ctk1Δ mutant, there are decreased Set2 protein levels and loss of both H3K36me3 and H3K36me2 (Figure 5A–C) (19,43,67). SET2Δ3 strongly suppressed the H3K36me2 defect in ctk1Δ, restoring it to a level greater than in wild-type strains (Figure 5A), although it had no effect on H3K36me3 or on the diminished Set2 protein levels (Figure 5B and C). We also tested whether SET2Δ3 suppresses intragenic transcription in these mutants. Our results showed that SET2Δ3 suppressed intragenic transcription in paf1Δ and ctr9Δ mutants, but not in the ctk1Δ mutant (Figure 5D). The latter result suggests that restoration of H3K36me2 but not H3K36me3 in ctk1Δ is insufficient for the repression of intragenic transcription. The bypass of the requirements for multiple factors by SET2sup mutations suggests that the

Figure 3. SET2sup mutations suppress spt6-1004 via the Set2/Rpd3S pathway. (A) Spot tests of cells grown at 34°C assaying expression of the STE11-CAN1 reporter, showing the effect of the H3K36A mutation on the suppression phenotype. (B) Spot tests of cells grown at 34°C, assaying the effect of rco1Δ on the expression of the FLO8-URA3 reporter in suppressor strains.

Figure 4. SET2-H366N is hyperactive in vitro. (A) Coomassie-stained gel loaded with equivalent amounts of purified wild-type Set2 and Set2-H366N. (B) Histone methyltransferase assays showing 3H incorporation in vitro. Histone methyltransferase assays showing 3H incorporation in vitro.
Figure 5. SET2Δ3 suppresses the H3K36me2/me3 defects in ctk1Δ, paf1Δ and ctr9Δ. (A–C) Quantification of western blots assaying H3K36me2 (A), H3K36me3 (B) and Set2 (C) levels in the indicated mutants with or without the SET2Δ3 mutation, normalized to their respective loading controls. The black dots represent the individual data points for three experiments, and the bars show the mean ± standard deviation. (D) Spot tests of cells grown at 30°C, assaying the effect of the SET2Δ3 mutation on the expression of FLO8-URA3 reporter in the indicated mutants. Since intragenic transcription is weak in these mutants, growth has been assayed on SC-Ura medium (see ‘Materials and methods’ section).

Set2 autoinhibitory domain confers dependence upon these factors for Set2 function.

SET2sup mutations suppress the loss of Set2 domains normally required for its catalytic activity

We also investigated whether SET2sup mutations suppress the loss of two Set2 regulatory domains required for Set2 activity: the SRI domain, which binds to the RNAPII CTD (19,48), and the HB domain, required for interaction with histones H2A and H4 (45). To do this, we deleted portions of the SET2 gene to remove one or both domains in the Set2 protein in a wild-type SET2 gene and a SET2Δ3 mutant (Figure 6A). We then tested the new mutants for levels of H3K36me2, H3K36me3 and Set2. Our results showed that SET2Δ3 suppresses both the set2ΔSRI and set2ΔHB mutations with respect to their H3K36 methylation defects (Figure 6A and Supplementary Figure S3). However, SET2Δ3 is unable to rescue H3K36 methylation in a set2ΔHB ΔSRI double mutant. This is not due to either altered recruitment or the level of the mutant protein (Figure 6B and Supplementary Figure S3C). Consistent with the H3K36 methylation levels, SET2Δ3 was able to suppress intragenic transcription in a set2ΔSRI but not in a set2ΔHB ΔSRI strain (Figure 6C). Our results suggest that the Set2 autoinhibitory domain monitors the interactions of the Set2 SRI and HB domains with RNAPII and nucleosomes, respectively.

The regulation of Set2 activity by Spt6 and SET2sup mutants occurs at a step after the recruitment of Set2 to chromatin

Although the H3K36 methylation defect in spt6-1004 mutants was discovered several years ago, there is little understanding of why Spt6 is required for this histone modification. Our spt6-1004 strains, when grown at 30°C, have almost normal Set2 levels (Figure 2E and Supplementary Figure S4C); however, H3K36me2 and H3K36me3 are undetectable. Therefore, the requirement for Spt6 must be at a step other than regulation of Set2 stability. Two other possible mechanisms for regulation include the recruitment of Set2 to chromatin or the regulation of Set2 activity after its recruitment. To distinguish between these possibilities, as well as to better understand the suppression of spt6-1004 by SET2sup mutations, we performed ChIP-seq for Set2-HA, Rpb1, H3K36me3, H3K36me2 and total H3. These
Figure 6. SET2Δ3 rescues H3K36 methylation in set2 mutants lacking the SRI or HB domains. (A) The schematic depicts Set2 and a set of mutants missing the indicated domains, testing each for H3K36me2 and H3K36me3 levels by western analysis. The red line in the AID represents the position of the SET2Δ3 mutation. The numbers next to each mutation show the levels of H3K36me2 and H3K36me3 in each mutant strain relative to a wild-type control (mean ± standard deviation of at least three experiments). (B) ChIP-qPCR assaying localization of FLAG-tagged Set2 wild-type and mutant proteins at the LSC2, RIX1 and PUN1 genes. The black dots represent the individual data points for three experiments, and the bars show the mean ± standard deviation. ChIP-qPCR data have been spike-in normalized to the Schizosaccharomyces pombe ACT1 gene. (C) Spot tests of cells grown at 37˚C, assaying expression of the FLO8-URA3 reporter in the indicated strains.

Experiments were performed in four genetic backgrounds: wild type, spt6-1004, spt6-1004 SET2-H366N and SET2-H366N. Each condition was performed in duplicate and was highly reproducible (Supplementary Figure S4A). We chose SET2-H366N as it was the strongest suppressor of the spt6-1004 H3K36me3 defect. To permit quantitative comparisons of ChIP signals between different samples, we used S. pombe chromatin for spike-in normalization (‘Materials and methods’ section and Supplementary Figure S4B).

Our results revealed new information regarding the H3K36 methylation defect caused by spt6-1004 as well as the suppression of this defect by SET2-H366N. First, there was a large decrease genome-wide in spt6-1004 in H3K36me2 and H3K36me3 association with chromatin as compared to the wild-type strain (Figure 7A and B), a result consistent with the observation that H3K36me2 and H3K36me3 are undetectable by westerns in spt6-1004. Second, in contrast to the large decrease in H3K36me2/me3, there was a little decrease in the level of Set2 protein recruited across transcribed regions when normalized to the level of Rpb1 (Figure 7C; Supplementary Figure S5A and B). Given these results, the defect in H3K36me2/me3 in the spt6-1004 mutant must occur primarily at a level subsequent to Set2 recruitment to chromatin. Third, in the spt6-1004 SET2-H366N double mutant, we saw a genome-wide rescue of H3K36me2/me3 (Figure 7A and B). Compared to the wild-type strain, this strain had generally increased levels of H3K36me2 and decreased levels of H3K36me3. Compared to the increase in histone methylation, Set2 localization to transcribed regions was only slightly increased as compared to spt6-1004 (Figure 7C), showing that suppression by SET2-H366N was not due to increased recruitment to chromatin. Finally, the SPT6 SET2-H366N single mutant showed increased H3K36me3 and decreased H3K36me2 levels genome-wide as compared to wild-type (Figure 7A and B). However, in this strain the recruitment of Set2 to chromatin is modestly increased (Figure 7C), which may be due to the higher level of the Set2-H366N-Flag protein (Supplemental Figure S4C). No global changes in histone H3 occupancy were observed in any of the strains (Supplemental Figure S5C). ChIP-qPCR results at individual genes were consistent with our ChIP-seq results (see STE11 and RIX1, Figure 7D-F; Supplemental Figure S5D-F). In summary, our results show that Spt6 is required for Set2 function after its recruitment to chromatin and suggest that this...
requirement is dependent upon the Set2 autoinhibitory domain.

Although the effects we observed occurred at most genes, a set of 48 genes behaved differently. In contrast to most genes, which had increased levels of H3K36me2 and partial rescue of H3K36me3 in the set2-1004 strain as compared to wild-type, this set of genes had reduced levels of H3K36me2 compared to wild-type. These genes had slightly decreased occupancy of histone H3 as compared to wild-type, but that decrease could not account for the decreased level of H3K36me2. In addition, Set2 recruitment was not impaired at these genes. Examples of two such genes, ADH1 and TDH3, are shown in Figure 7D–F. GO term analysis indicated that these genes are enriched for those involved in ADP metabolic processes and cytoplasmic translation. To find out if this was a common trend among highly transcribed genes, we grouped genes by their expression level and determined H3K36me2/me3 levels in each of the groups. Our analysis revealed a slight decrease in H3K36me2/me3 levels in the most highly expressed genes relative to other groups in the set2-1004 strain (Supplementary Figure S5G). There appears to be some requirement for fully functional Set2 protein for H3K36 methylation at highly transcribed genes. However, this does not appear to be the sole determining characteristic among the set of 48 genes we have identified. Our results suggest the possibility of a different mechanism for regulation of Set2 activity at these genes.

The Set2–Spt6 genetic interaction is conserved
As both Set2 and Spt6 are conserved, including the Set2 autoinhibitory domain (Figure 8A) (49), we wanted to test whether the functional interactions between Set2 and Spt6 are conserved. To test this idea, we moved to S. pombe, a yeast that is as evolutionarily diverged from S. cerevisiae as either is from mammals (68). We constructed an S. pombe strain that contains a set2 mutation similar to the S. cere-
A. Conservation of the amino acid sequence of the central region of Set2 between *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (amino acids 261–475) and *S. pombe*. The residues highlighted in green correspond to the three amino acids deleted in the *SET2*Δ3 mutation. The residues highlighted in pink denote the location of the other *SET2sup* mutations. An asterisk indicates an identical residue, a colon indicates a highly similar amino acid (scoring > 0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix) and a period indicates a weakly similar amino acid (scoring ≤ 0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix).

B. Western blot assaying the effect of the *set2Δ3* mutation on H3K36me3 levels in *spt6-1* cells in *S. pombe*. Histone H3 was used as a loading control. Asterisk denotes a non-specific band.

C. Quantification of western blots assaying H3K36me3 levels in the indicated strains. In both graphs, the black dots represent the individual data points for three experiments and the bars show the mean ± standard deviation.

D. Western blot assaying the effect of the *set2Δ3* mutation on H3K36me2 levels in *spt6-1* cells in *S. pombe*. Histone H3 was used as a loading control.

E. Quantification of western blots assaying H3K36me2 levels in the indicated strains.

Figure 8. The genetic interaction between Spt6 and Set2 is conserved in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. (A) Conservation of the amino acid sequence of the central region of Set2 between *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (amino acids 261–475) and *S. pombe*. The residues highlighted in green correspond to the three amino acids deleted in the *SET2Δ3* mutation. The residues highlighted in pink denote the location of the other *SET2sup* mutations. An asterisk indicates an identical residue, a colon indicates a highly similar amino acid (scoring > 0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix) and a period indicates a weakly similar amino acid (scoring ≤ 0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix). (B) Western blot assaying the effect of the *set2Δ3* mutation on H3K36me3 levels in *spt6-1* cells in *S. pombe*. Histone H3 was used as a loading control. Asterisk denotes a non-specific band. (C) Quantification of western blots assaying H3K36me3 levels in the indicated strains. In both graphs, the black dots represent the individual data points for three experiments and the bars show the mean ± standard deviation.

Our results show that the autoinhibitory domain region of Set2 and its functional interaction with Spt6 is conserved between the two distantly related yeasts.

### DISCUSSION

In this work we have presented new results about the regulation of Set2 activity in *S. cerevisiae*, providing insights into the requirements for Set2 to function during transcription elongation. By the isolation of *SET2sup* mutations that partially suppress the requirement for Spt6 for H3K36 methylation, we have shown that a recently identified Set2 autoinhibitory domain (49) plays critical roles in the regulation of Set2 in *S. pombe*. Histone H3 was used as a loading control. Asterisk denotes a non-specific band. (C) Quantification of western blots assaying H3K36me3 levels in the indicated strains. In both graphs, the black dots represent the individual data points for three experiments and the bars show the mean ± standard deviation.

In the wild-type *spt6* background, the *S. pombe set2Δ3* mutation caused decreased levels H3K36me3 compared to wild-type, suggesting some functional differences for Set2 between the two species (69). In spite of these differences, our results show that the autoinhibitory domain region of Set2 and its functional interaction with Spt6 is conserved between the two distantly related yeasts.
presence of Spt6 and the PAF complex. Furthermore, our results also suggest that the Set2 autoinhibitory domain requires that Set2 interacts with both RNAPII and histones via the Set2 SRI and HB domains, respectively, in order to function. We have demonstrated that the dependence of Set2 on Spt6 occurs genome-wide, at a step subsequent to the recruitment of Set2 to chromatin. Together, these results suggest a model in which the Set2 autoinhibitory domain evaluates multiple interactions between trans-acting factors and specific Set2 domains before allowing Set2 to catalyze H3K36me2/me3 in vivo. If any of the interactions fail to occur, then the Set2 autoinhibitory domain inhibits Set2 catalytic activity.

A majority of the single amino acid changes (18/20) identified by our SET2\textsuperscript{sup} mutations fall within a predicted single α helix of a proposed Set2 autoinhibitory domain (49). The tight clustering of our mutations likely reflects the stringency of our mutant selection. The nature of the mutations that we isolated suggests that they disrupt the α helix, thereby impairing the autoinhibitory domain, as seven of the 20 mutations encode proline. Furthermore, a deletion of the three codons that contained 13 of the 20 mutations also confers the same phenotype. The previous work that showed that deletion mutations spanning a four-helix region resulted in hyperactive Set2 proteins both in vitro and in vivo, although the in vivo analysis was limited by the instability of the mutant proteins (49). The SET2\textsuperscript{sup} mutations that we have isolated encode stable proteins, which allowed us to discover the critical role of the autoinhibitory domain in vivo.

Our results raise the question of the mechanism by which Spt6 is required for Set2 activity. At 30°C, the temperature at which our experiments were performed, the Spt6-1004 mutant protein is present at normal levels (25), yet there is no detectable H3K36me2/me3. The simplest possibility is that a direct interaction between the Spt6 HhH domain, the region missing in the Spt6-1004 mutant protein, and the Set2 autoinhibitory domain is required for Set2 activity. In support of this idea, the spt6-1004 mutation causes the most severe defects in H3K36me2/me3 of all spt6 alleles tested (18,67). However, there is no evidence for a direct Spt6-Set2 interaction, either by high-resolution analysis of Set2-interacting proteins (70) or by two-hybrid analysis (our unpublished results). We were also unable to detect any interaction between the autoinhibitory domain and other domains present in Set2 through co-immunoprecipitation and two-hybrid studies.

While these negative results do not rule out a direct Spt2–Spt6 interaction, it also seems plausible that in the spt6-1004 mutant there is an altered chromatin configuration that impairs the interaction of Set2 with a nucleosomal surface post-recruitment, such as that previously identified to be required for Set2 activity (44,45).

Although the SET2\textsuperscript{sup} mutations are able to suppress the spt6-1004 H3K36 methylation defect for most genes, there are a small number of genes at which H3K36 methylation is not rescued. This finding suggests that the mechanisms that regulate Set2 activity in vivo may not be uniform across the genome. The genes that behaved differently are highly transcribed and have a lower level of histone H3 compared to most genes; however, neither of those characteristics is sufficient to explain their lack of response to the SET2\textsuperscript{sup} mutations. At these genes, there may be additional or distinct requirements for Set2 to function. Alternatively, these genes may recruit a high level of H3K36 demethylases or, as H3K36 can also be acetylated (71,72), these genes may be more subject to competition between these mutually exclusive modifications than at most other genes.

Autoinhibition is a common mode of regulation among histone methyltransferases in both yeast and mammals. For example, the S. cerevisiae H3K4 methyltransferase Set1 (73) and the S. pombe H3K9 methyltransferase Ctr4 (74) both contain autoinhibitory domains. In addition, mammalian histone methyltransferases have been shown to contain autoinhibitory domains, including Nsd1 (75), PRDM9 (76) and Smyd3 (77). In these three cases, structural studies of the proteins have suggested likely mechanisms that are distinct from each other. There are at least two reasons that a methyltransferase such as Set2 would have such tight regulation of its activity. First, the requirement for interactions with both nucleosomes and elongating RNAPII, as well as the activities of factors such as Spt6 and Pafl, ensures that H3K36me2/me3 will only occur at the correct location and at the correct time—on chromatin when it is being actively transcribed. Second, this regulation provides the opportunity to regulate H3K36me2/me3 in different conditions. For example, recent studies have provided evidence that H3K36 methylation is important for nutrient stress response (32,78), carbon source shifts (79), DNA damage responses (80–83), splicing (84–87) and aging (88,89). Therefore, the Set2 autoinhibitory domain may serve as a target for additional regulators under particular growth conditions.
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